



CARIBBEAN COURT OF JUSTICE

**134 HENRY STREET
PORT OF SPAIN
REPUBLIC OF TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO**
Telephone: (868) 612-5CCJ Fax: (868) 624-4710
Website: www.ccj.org

MEDIA RELEASE
(For immediate release)

No. 25:2024
31 July 2024

CCJ UPHOLDS CONVICTION AND SENTENCES IN SAINT LUCIAN SHOOTING CASE

Port of Spain, Trinidad and Tobago. On Tuesday 30 July 2024, the Caribbean Court of Justice (CCJ) in its Appellate Jurisdiction delivered judgment in the appeal *Marius Wilson v The King* [2024] CCJ 17 (AJ) LC. The CCJ upheld the decision of the Eastern Caribbean Supreme Court of Appeal (Saint Lucia) that affirmed the conviction by the High Court.

This was an appeal by Marius Wilson (Wilson) against his conviction and sentence for the offences of intentionally causing dangerous harm to Winsbert Alexander (Alexander) and using a deadly instrument with intent to cause grievous harm to Alexander. Wilson admitted to shooting Alexander during the early morning of 7 June 2012, while at a nightclub, claiming this was after he observed Alexander running towards him with an object in his hand that appeared to be a firearm.

At trial, only one of the prosecution's eyewitnesses gave evidence of what occurred. All other eyewitnesses, including Alexander, claimed that they could not remember their statements given to the police after the incident, although they had been shown their statements before testifying. Critically, other than Wilson's firearm, no other firearm was found at the scene. On 30 July 2021, Wilson was convicted of the two offences for which he was later sentenced to five years on the first count and four years on the second count, both sentences to run concurrently. On 25 July 2023, the Court of Appeal upheld the conviction but allowed the appeal against the sentence, varying the sentences of five years and four years to three years and two years respectively, to run concurrently. Wilson appealed further to the CCJ against the Court of Appeal's decision.

At the CCJ, Wilson's grounds of appeal mainly asserted that the Court of Appeal was wrong in law when a) it upheld the trial judge's dismissal of the no case submission made at the trial; b) it did not agree with the submission that the trial judge had misdirected the jury on the evidential nature of hostile witnesses; c) it approved the trial judge's direction to the jury on the issue of good character given Wilson's profile; and d) it disregarded the written submissions that the defence of self-defence which was advanced at trial was not negated by the prosecution. Thus, Wilson claimed, he suffered a miscarriage of justice.

In the lead judgment, the Honourable Mr Justice Denys Barrow (with whom all the other judges agreed) noted that the challenged direction to the jury on the ‘evidence’ of hostile witnesses could not have misled the jury because nothing that was said by the hostile witnesses prejudiced Wilson. Additionally, the trial judge repeatedly directed the jury that what the witnesses did not accept from prosecution suggestions as to what they had previously stated was not evidence to be considered in the case. Wilson’s attorneys submitted that the trial judge’s direction on good character was too broad and invited the jury to consider irrelevant, inadmissible, and prejudicial matters given Wilson’s profile in Saint Lucia. The CCJ held that this submission could not withstand scrutiny given the effect of the trial judge’s full directions on good character taken together with the earlier cautions given to the jury when they were selected: to consider only what took place in court. The CCJ also held that there was sufficient evidence to disprove the claim of self-defence and that there had been adequate evidence to establish an unlawful shooting.

The CCJ dismissed the appeal, and the decision of the Court of Appeal was upheld.

The matter was heard by the CCJ President, the Honourable Mr Justice Saunders, and Justices Rajnauth-Lee, Barrow, Burgess, and Jamadar. Mr. Alberton Richelieu and Ms Alberta Richelieu appeared for Marius Wilson. Ms. Kelly Marie Thompson and Mr Linton Robinson appeared for the Crown.

The CCJ’s full decision is available via www.ccj.org.

-End-

About the Caribbean Court of Justice

The Caribbean Court of Justice (CCJ) was inaugurated in Port of Spain, Republic of Trinidad and Tobago on 16 April 2005 and presently has a Bench of six judges presided over by CCJ President, the Honourable Mr Justice Adrian Saunders. The CCJ has an Original and an Appellate Jurisdiction and is effectively, therefore, two courts in one. In its Original Jurisdiction, it is an international court with exclusive jurisdiction to interpret and apply the rules set out in the Revised Treaty of Chaguaramas (RTC) and to decide disputes arising under it. The RTC established the Caribbean Community (CARICOM) and the CARICOM Single Market and Economy (CSME). In its Original Jurisdiction, the CCJ is critical to the CSME and all 12 Member States which belong to the CSME (including their citizens, businesses, and governments) can access the Court’s Original Jurisdiction to protect their rights under the RTC. In its Appellate Jurisdiction, the CCJ is the final court of appeal for criminal and civil matters for those countries in the Caribbean that alter their national Constitutions to enable the CCJ to perform that role. At present, four states access the Court in its Appellate Jurisdiction, these being Barbados, Belize, Dominica, Guyana, and Saint Lucia. However, by signing and ratifying the Agreement Establishing the Caribbean Court of Justice, Member States of the Community have demonstrated a commitment to making the CCJ their final court of appeal. The Court is the realisation of a vision of our ancestors, an expression of independence and a signal of the region’s coming of age.

For more information please contact:
The Public Education & Protocol Unit
Tel: (868) 612-5225 ext. 2246
Email: ccjcomm@ccj.org